

VIVEKANANDHA COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES FOR WOMEN (AUTONOMOUS)

Elayampalayam - 637 205. Tiruchengode, Namakkal Dt., Tamil Nadu

TEACHER'S FEEDBACK FORM FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

	Academic Yea	ır -	2021	- 20	22			
	Name of the F							
	Name of the Faculty - Dr. H. Periyasassey Department/ Designation - Botasey Assistant Professor Class (You are teaching) - II B. Se., Botasey Sub. Code/Subject - 20 ULBOCOLI Anadomy, Emboyo to zy and Seed Science							
	Department D	Department Designation - 130 tany) Cash						
	Class (You are	teaching) - <u>Ji</u>	, B	Se.,	130 1000	1 1 - 0 Sand		
	Sub. Code/Sub	gect - 20 U	4BOC	041	Anadory, 2	Supposed Single		
1.	Do you feel th	nat the syllabus	is desig	gned in	a way to clarify you	ur teaching goals and		
	what you exp	ect your studen	ts to le	arn? If	yes rate it.	. 1		
	Poor	Fair	Av	erage	Good	Excellent		
2.	Is your syllab	ous enough to bi	idge tl	ie gap b	etween industry sta	andards /current global		
	scenarios and	academics?				1		
	Poor	Fair	Ave	erage	Good	Excellent		
_								
3.	Is the evaluat assessment?	Is the evaluation methods mentioned in the syllabus are sufficient for providing proper assessment?						
	Poor	Fair	Avera	ige	Good	Excellent		
4.	The syllabus	is						
	Challenging	Adequate	Inade	equate	Dull	Irrelevant		
5.	The books given in the list as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate.							
	Adequate	Inadequate	Dul	I	Irrelevant			
6.	. The course/program of studies carries sufficient number of elective/optional papers.							
	Challenging	Adequate	Inade		Dull			
						Irrelevant		
7. The course/syllabus has good equilibrium between theory and Lab.								
	Adequate	equate Inade		Dull	Irrelevant			
						J. Got		
				•		Name and Signature		

Name and Signature
Ot. 17. Posigasang



VIVEKANANDHA COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES FOR WOMEN (AUTONOMOUS) Elayampalayam – 637 205. Tiruchengode, Namakkal Dt., Tamil Nadu

TEACHER'S FEEDBACK FORM FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

	Academic Year - 2021-2022							
	Name of the Faculty - Dr. P. MANI (CANDAN) Department/ Designation - Bot any / Assistant professor							
	Class (You are	Class (You are teaching) - IN B-SC. BOHON						
	Sub. Code/Subj	ject - Mort	phology	and Taxon (2005)	30 cos)			
1. Do you feel that the syllabus is designed in a way to clarify your teaching goals and								
what you expect your students to learn? If yes rate it.								
	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent			
2.	Is your syllab	us enough to br	idge the gap b	etween industry sta	ndards /current global			
	scenarios and	academics?						
	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent			
3.	. Is the evaluation methods mentioned in the syllabus are sufficient for providing proper assessment?							
	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent			
4.	The syllabus i	S						
	Challenging	Adequate	Inadequate	Dull	Irrelevant			
5.	The books given in the list as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate.							
	Adequate	Inadequate	Dull	Irrelevant				
6.	The course/pro	ogram of studies	carries sufficie	nt number of electiv	e/optional papers.			
	Challenging	Adequate	Inadequate	Dull	Irrelevant			
7.	The course/syl	labus has good c	quilibrium bet	ween theory and Lal	b.			
	Adequate	Inade	quate Dull	Irrelevant				
					Interned			
					Drun _			

Name and Signature (Dr. P. MANIKANDAN)



VIVEKANANDHA COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES FOR WOMEN (AUTONOMOUS) Elayampalayam – 637 205. Tiruchengode, Namakkal Dt., Tamil Nadu

TEACHER'S FEEDBACK FORM FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

	Academic Year		1021 - 202					
Name of the Faculty - Dr. P. Proyadharsini								
	Department/Designation - Botany / Assitant Professor							
		teaching) - 💆	[-M.Sc. 1	Botanu				
	Sub. Code/Subj	ect - 20P1	BOEOI /I	rtellectual Pr	openty Rights			
1.	Do you feel the	Do you feel that the syllabus is designed in a way to clarify your teaching goals and						
	what you expect your students to learn? If yes rate it.							
	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent			
2.	Is your syllab	Is your syllabus enough to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global						
	scenarios and	scenarios and academics?						
	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent			
			C					
3.	Is the evaluati assessment?	Is the evaluation methods mentioned in the syllabus are sufficient for providing proper assessment?						
	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent			
4.	The syllabus i	s						
	Challenging	Adequate	Inadequate	Dull	Irrelevant			
5.	The books give	en in the list as 1	eference mater	ials are relevant, up	dated and appropriate.			
	Adequate	Inadequate	Dull	Irrelevant				
6. The course/program of studies carries sufficient number of elective/optional paper					ve/optional papers.			
	Challenging	Adequate	Inadequate	Dull	Irrelevant			
7.	The course/syllabus has good equilibrium between theory and Lab.							
	Adequate Inade		equate Dull	Irrelevant				
			•		Phli			
			•		Name and Signature			
					(Dr. P. PRIYADHARSINI)			



VIVEKANANDHA COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES FOR WOMEN

(AUTONOMOUS)

Elayampalayam – 637 205. Tiruchengode, Namakkal Dt., Tamil Nadu

TEACHER'S FEEDBACK FORM FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

	Academic Year	r - •	2021 - Lo	22					
	Name of the Fa	eulty -	Dr. H. 88	N THIL WHAR	professor.				
	Department/ Depart	esignation -	Botans/	Assis fast					
	Class (You are	teaching) -	7- 7.5000	Botan					
	Sub. Code/Sub	ject - HEI	RBAL	7 K-CHNOLOFY					
1					r teaching goals and				
١.		ect your studen							
	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent				
,					ndards /current global				
٠.	scenarios and		gee guly	•					
	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent				
3.	Is the evaluati	ion methods me	entioned in the	syllabus are sufficio	ent for providing proper				
	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent				
1.	The syllabus is	s							
	Challenging	Adequate	Inadequate	Dull	Irrelevant				
5.	The books give	n in the list as r	eference mater	rials are relevant, upo	lated and appropriate.				
	Adequate	Inadequate	Dull	Irrelevant					
5,	. The course/program of studies carries sufficient number of elective/optional papers.								
	Challenging	Adequate	Inadequate	Dull	Irrelevant				
	. The course/syllabus has good equilibrium between theory and Lab.								
	Adequate /	Inade	quate Dull	Irrelevant	Name and Signature				
				,	a activity to				



VIVEKANANDHA COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES FOR WOMEN

(AUTONOMOUS)

Elayampalayam – 637 205. Tiruchengode, Namakkal Dt., Tamil Nadu

TEACHER'S FEEDBACK FORM FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

	Academic Yea	ar -	2021-20	22			
	Name of the F	aculty -	Dr. M.	Posigasarry			
	Department/ D	Designation -	Botan	1) Auistan	6 Professor		
	Class (You are	e teaching) -	II. Mad	a, Botany	1 Pathobay		
	Sub. Code/Sub	oject - Mica	obio lo ge	y and Man	W LOOKED		
1	Do vou feel t	hat the syllabus	is designed i	n a way to clarify y	our teaching goals and		
1.		ect your studen					
		Fair	Average	Good	Excellent		
•	Poor		_		standards /current global		
2.	scenarios and		riuge the gap	between maustry			
	scenarios and	i academics:			S all and		
	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent		
3.	Is the evaluat	ion methods me	entioned in tl	ne syllabus are suff	icient for providing prope		
	assessment?						
	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent		
4.	The syllabus i	is					
	Challenging	Adequate	Inadequate	Dull	Irrelevant		
-		on in the list as r	eference mat	erials are relevant, t	ipdated and appropriate.		
Э.	The books give						
	Adequate	Inadequate	Dull	Irrelevant			
6.	The course/pro	ogram of studies	carries suffic	cient number of elec	tive/optional papers.		
	Challenging	Adequate	Inadequate	Dull	Irrelevant		
7	The source/call	lahus has good e	anilihriu m b e	etween theory and I	.ab.		
	7. The course/syllabus has good equilibrium between theory and Lab.						
	Adequate	Inade	quate Dull	Irrelevant			
					Cut. Capit		
			:		Name and Signature		